APPENDIX D

MEMO: Licensing Unit

To Licensing Unit Date 5 July 2017

Copies

From Jayne Tear Telephone 020 7525 0396 Fax

Email jayne.tear@southwark.gov.uk

Subject Re: The Charlie Chaplin, 26 New Kent Road, London, SE1 6JT

Application to review the premises licence

I write with regards to the above application to review the premises licence submitted by the Metropolitan Police under the Licensing Act 2003.

The application is concerned with a serious incident that took place on 17 June 2017, whereby two males were stabbed. It has been reported that the two victims were very intoxicated and had been talking early in the evening to the suspect who was also believed to be intoxicated. Later in the evening the victims and suspect were heard arguing before the suspect was seen to go behind the bar area and remove a knife from the counter, which he then approached the victims arms and the other victim was stabbed in the upper chest area. The suspect then left the pub and headed towards the Bricklayers roundabout. On arrival medical help was being provided by LAS and medics from the Coronet, there appeared to be no first aider on duty at the venue. Both victims were taken to hospital due to serious injuries. It has been reported that the bar staff at the venue were inebriated along with the landlord and that the bar staff would not at the time provide statements of the events. There were no persons at the venue that could operate the CCTV system which would have assisted in identifying the suspect.

My representation is concerned with the prevention of crime and disorder, the prevention of public nuisance and public safety.

In March 2016 another violent incident happened at this premises. It was alleged that a patron described as extremely intoxicated became aggressive when last orders were called and left the premises, then smashed a window of the premises with a phone. Another patron then left the premises and assaulted the victim causing significant facial injuries. As a result of the incident the Metropolitan Police called an expedited review. At the full hearing for this review the licensing sub committee (LSC) imposed more robust conditions upon the licence and the previous relief managers were to be permanently excluded from the premises. I attach the notice of decision from the review hearing on 12 April 20016 to this representation.

At the time of the incident on 17 June 2017 it would seem that the premises was in breach of the following conditions placed upon the premises licence at the review hearing by the LSC on 12 April 2016:

788 - That a CCTV system be installed at the premises and be maintained in good working order and be continually recording at all times the premises are in use under the licence. The CCTV System must be capable of capturing a clear facial image of every person who enters the premises. It should cover all areas within the premises including upstairs and the outside area to the front in all lighting conditions.

789 - That all CCTV footage shall be kept for a period of 31 days and shall, upon request, be made immediately available to officers of the police and the council.

793 - That all staff are trained in their responsibilities under the licensing act 2003 and training records to be kept and updated every 6 months and shall, upon request, be made immediately available to officers of the police and the council.

842 - That a member of staff should be available at all times the premises is open that is trained in the use of the CCTV and able to view and download images to a removal device on request of Police or council

officer.

And a further condition which was already on the licence prior to the review hearing, which came from the operating schedule from a previous application submitted in 2005 by the applicant which states the following:

340 - Our staff will refuse to serve a person who appears to be intoxicated. They will additionally discourage binge drinking and remove anyone behaving badly from the premises

In my opinion the incident may not have happen if the premises were complying with the conditions placed on the premises licence. It is an offence under the licensing act 2003 to serve a person who is already drunk and I have a lack of faith in the current management or licensee to abide by the conditions on the premises license or to promote the licensing objectives.

The breaches of the conditions also prevented the police from identifying the suspect who committed the assaults.

The licensing unit may be pursuing further enforcement action with regards to the breaches.

I fully support the Metropolitan Police in this review and I have a lack of faith in the management/licensee/DPS of the premises to abide by the conditions put upon the licence or to promote the prevention of crime and disorder, prevention of public nuisance and public safety licensing objectives.

Jayne Tear
Principal Licensing officer
In the capacity of the Licensing Responsible Authority

Heron, Andrew

From: Chowdhury, Farhad

Sent: 05 July 2017 15:00

To: Heron, Andrew

Cc: Farrington, Ian

Subject: Charlie Chaplin PH Expedited Review

Hi Andrew,

Re: Expedited Review - Charlie Chaplin PH, New Kent Road SE1

I write with reference to the above expedited review, the case officer is Ian Farrington who called me today and wanted me to send you a holding representation.

lan has concerns that Charlie Chaplin Public House have a lack of an up to date health and safety management system.

Therefore the Health and Safety Team support the review by the Metropolitan Police of the above premises licence.

Kind regards,

Farhad Chowdhury

Principal Enforcement Officer | Southwark Council | Regulatory Services | Environment and Social Regeneration | Commercial Services Compliance Unit | EHTS (Health and Safety Team)

Postal Address:

Health and Safety Team | 3rd Floor, Hub 1 B | PO Box 64529 | London | SE1P 5LX

Visitor's Address:

160 Tooley Street | London | SE1 2QH

☎Tel: 020 7525 0398☎Admin Tel: 020 7525 4261☑ Website: www.southwark.gov.uk

E-mail: farhad.chowdhury@southwark.gov.uk

A Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

"Southwark Council does not accept liability for loss or damage resulting from software viruses.

The views expressed in this e-mail may be personal to the sender and should not be taken as necessarily representing those of Southwark Council. The information in this e-mail and any attached files is confidential and may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege or be subject to privacy legislation. It is intended solely for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, the retaining, distribution or other use of any transmitted information is strictly prohibited. E-mails are transmitted over a public network and Southwark Council cannot accept any responsibility for the accuracy of a message that may have sustained changes in transmission"

http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200049/health_and_safety/3547/1_health_and_safety_team

MySouthwark

For council services at your fingertips, register online at

www.southwark.gov.uk/mysouthwark